MR. ARNTZ: No. We would only renew our motion and objections as they reflect in the earlier record.

THE COURT: Anything further?

I will do this and the rest of it is a wrap up. We'll go from there.

BEFORE THE JURY

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, it's been brought to the Court's attention, and I want to make sure this is very clear on the definition of Counts One and Two.

Before you can find the defendant guilty, you must find beyond a reasonable doubt that Weston Lee Howe, Junior, on or about the 22d day of June, 1992, and in Montgomery County, Ohio, purposely caused the death of Mark McDonald in Count One, and a separate and distinct count, Count Two, Richard Blazer, while the defendant was committing or attempting to commit or fleeing immediately after committing or attempting to commit an aggravated robbery. I want to make sure you got that. Again, that definition applies to Counts One and Two.

Your initial conduct upon entering the jury room is a matter of importance. It

is not wise immediately to express a determination to insist upon a certain verdict. Because if your sense of pride is aroused, you may hesitate to change your position even if you decide you are wrong. Consult with one another, consider each other's views and deliberate with an objective of reaching an agreement, if you can do so without disturbing your individual judgment. Each of you must decide this case for yourself, but you should do so only after discussion and consideration of the case with your fellow jurors.

Do not hesitate to change an opinion if convinced that it is wrong. However, you should not surrender an honest conviction in order to be congenial or to reach a verdict solely because of the opinions of other jurors.

Now as you know, an alternate has been selected, and you will be excused in just a minute. But you are cautioned not to discuss the case until it's all over. You got to hear that again. It's important that you not discuss the case with anyone until after a verdict is returned, and this includes any court personnel, any news media, anybody. And Shirley will give you the necessary instructions in just a couple of minutes.

After you retire, select a

foreman or forelady, and whenever all 12, and I repeat, all 12 jurors agree upon a verdict or verdicts in this situation, you will sign the verdicts in ink and advise the bailiff by pushing the buzzer. You will then be returned to the courtroom.

The Court at this point in time will place in your possession the exhibits and the verdict forms. The foreman or forelady will retain possession of these records, including the verdicts, and return them to the courtroom at that time. The foreman or forelady will see that your discussions are orderly and that each juror has the opportunity to discuss the case and to cast his or her vote, otherwise, the authority of the foreman or forelady is the same as any other juror.

Until your verdicts are announced in open court, you are not to disclose to anyone else the status of your deliberations or the nature of your verdict.

You may now commence your deliberations.

Counsel will be responsible to make sure that all the exhibits are there that have been admitted into evidence. And you may not see a precise continuous numerical sequence of exhibits.

1	You will have all the exhibits that have been admitted									
2	into evidence.									
3	I know what's going through									
4	your mind.									
5	A JUROR: What about lunch?									
6	THE COURT: Go back there, elect the									
7	foreman and let us know what you want to do, whether									
8	you want to start your deliberation or, or whether you									
9	want to recess for lunch. You let us know.									
10	You may commence your									
11	deliberations.									
12	(WHEREUPON, a recess was taken at the hour									
13	of 1:15 p.m.)									
14										
15	IN OPEN COURT - BEFORE THE JURY									
16	1:23 p.m.									
17	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the									
18	jury, first of all, have you elected a foreman?									
19	MS. WHITE: Yes.									
20	THE COURT: Who is that person?									
21	MS. WHITE: I am Lisa White.									
22	THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. It's my									
23	understanding, Ms. White, that you want to go to									
24	lunch.									

MS. WHITE: Yes, very much so.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: That's understandable. So what we will do -- is one hour sufficient? Did you want a little more, little less?

MS. WHITE: We would like to come back at 12:30.

All right. What we'll do THE COURT: then is you're going to have to hear this one more You'll be recessed for lunch until 2:30. While you're at lunch you may not discuss the case among yourselves or with anybody else. Stay away from any news media. Now remember, you can go to lunch. some of you made friends together, you can go to lunch together, couple go one place or however you want to do it. While you're at lunch do not discuss the case among yourselves. When you get back into the jury room and all 12 of you are back in the jury room, that's when you can discuss the case, okay. Again, it makes sense. You're a group of 12. And if some of you go one place and a couple of you go somewhere else and you are talking about the case, you are not discussing the matter as a group of 12. Now when all 12 are returned, when you're all back in the jury room, you won't have to be brought back into the courtroom, then just simply buzz on the buzzer, we'll bring the exhibits to you at that point and you can

commence your deliberations.

One other caution, when you're back in the jury room, and let's say you're waiting on one juror, got to wait for all 12, don't start discussing the case until all 12 are back in the jury room.

So with that then, we'll go ahead and we'll shoot for 2:30. Everybody back by 2:30, you may then continue your deliberations at that point. And have a nice lunch.

(WHEREUPON, a luncheon recess was taken.)

* * * *

_ _

(March 3, 1993 - Afternoon Session)
5:05 p.m.

IN CHAMBERS

THE COURT: Let the record reflect we are in chambers out of the presence of the jury.

First of all, does the defense counsel waive the defendant's right to be present for this brief discussion on the record?

MR. ARNTZ: Yes, we would.

THE COURT: Let the record further reflect what will be eventually be marked as Court's Exhibit IV will be received by the Court and it, specifically, it's presumably a request for the testimony to be reread, for the testimony of Tom Lawson to be reread to the jury, the testimony relating to the direct and cross-examination of what Howe said in front of he and Spells. That's in quotes.

After consulting with the attorneys, the defendant objects to the reading of the testimony. The prosecution has no objection to the reading of the testimony. The Court will simply advise the jury that they must rely on their collective memories as to the testimony of any one witness because to reread or to read the testimony

from the record would unduly highlight a portion of 1 2 the testimony over the testimony of other witnesses. 3 Now with that said, it's 4 the Court's understanding that counsel for the 5 defendant and the State have no objection to the Court 6 simply advising the jury of this ruling at the jury room on the record without them being present. 7 8 can find out what their desires are as to whether or 9 not they want to continue to deliberate this evening. 10 Am I correct on that Mr. 11 Arntz? 12 MR. ARNTZ: Yes, that's correct. 13 MR. SLAVENS: That is correct. But I 14 think the record should reflect that it now is 5 15 o'clock and the jury had previously before the 16 question about Detective Lawson asked to be permitted to leave at 5 o'clock and resume tomorrow morning, 17 18 that's why we are doing that all at one time. 19 THE COURT: Therefore, when we bring 20 them back into the courtroom or into the courtroom, we 21 will ask if they're going to keep deliberating, there 22 is no point in bringing them back into the courtroom. 23 If they want to go home, MR. SLAVENS: 24

bring them in and let them go home.

Just let us know, right.

THE COURT:

1 (WHEREUPON, a discussion was held off the 2 record.) 3 THE COURT: Let the record reflect that 4 after consultation with counsel, the Court will bring 5 the jury in, answer the --6 MR. SLAVENS: Lawson question. -- Lawson issue and then 7 THE COURT: 8 send the jury home for the evening. We will 9 reconvene, with the agreement of the lawyers, at 8 10 o'clock tomorrow morning. The jury to commence 11 deliberations without physically coming back into the courtroom for further instructions. 12 13 14 IN OPEN COURT - BEFORE THE JURY 15 5:11 p.m. 16 THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and 17 gentlemen of the jury. Dealing with first things 18 first, the Court has in its possession a request that 19 has been now marked as Court Exhibit IV regarding the 20 testimony of Detective Lawson as it relates to what

You're going to have to rely on your collective memories as it relates to the testimony of not only Detective Lawson but any

front of he and Detective Spells.

may or may not have been said by the defendant Howe in

21

22

23

24

witness. The reason that the Court will not read back to you that testimony is that it would emphasize that testimony above and beyond anyone else's testimony and call undue attention to one portion of the trial over to the detriment or at least potential detriment of other portions of trial. So the bottom line is, you cannot get that testimony read to you. You must rely on your collective memories as to what was said.

Now, what we are going to do is go ahead and recess now for the evening. usual instruction again applies and, that is, you are not permitted to discuss the case among yourselves or with anybody else. Remember, you are in a very sensitive part of the trial at this point because you are in the middle of deliberations. If anything happens in the course of the evening that you feel you should bring to our attention, feel free to do so immediately tomorrow morning and it will be dealt Again, keep away from any news media coverage whether it be radio, television, or newspaper. do any investigation on your own. You're not permitted to go out and investigate on your own at this point or at any point but I'm really emphasizing it now.

I assume you would rather

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 have me send you home than to put you in a little nice 2 downtown hotel. In any event, with that in mind, the 3 same instructions that we went through at lunch apply 4 now. 5 Tomorrow morning when you 6 appear and I believe it's 8 o'clock was the requested 7 time, am I correct on that? 8 THE JURY: (Nodding.) 9 THE COURT: You will convene tomorrow 10 morning at 8 o'clock. When all 12 of you have 11 convened, simply give us a buzz on the buzzer, we'll 12 bring the exhibits in and then you may commence your 13 deliberations. Do not discuss the case until all 12 14 of you are present in the jury room. 15 So with that then, we'll go 16 ahead and take our evening recess. And again, you are 17 cautioned again very heavily not to discuss the case 18 among yourselves with anybody else until you reconvene 19 here tomorrow at 8 o'clock. 20 Anything from the State? 21 Nothing, your Honor. MR. SLAVENS: 22 THE COURT: Anything further from the 23 defendant? 24 MR. ARNTZ: Nothing, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: We will stand in recess,

1 reconvening tomorrow morning at 8 a.m. 2 Is there anything further from the defendant? 3 MR. ARNTZ: No. 4 THE COURT: From the State? 5 MR. SLAVENS: Nothing, your Honor. 6 (WHEREUPON, the jury was excused for the 7 evening.) 8 IN CHAMBERS 9 THE COURT: Let the record reflect we 10 are in chambers. Present are all counsel. 11 Does the defense waive, 12 counsel waive the defendant's right to be here? 13 MR. ARNTZ: Yes, we would. 1.4 THE COURT: Assuming he has the right 15 for this little discussion. 16 Let the record reflect that 17 it's March the 3rd, the jury has been excused for the 18 evening on the record and will be reconvening tomorrow 19 March the 4th at 8 a.m. 20 Defense counsel have 21 advised the Court that they are in Cincinnati 22 approximately 45 miles south of the courthouse in 23 Dayton at a, what's called a death penalty seminar 24 that commences tomorrow morning and is scheduled to go

all day tomorrow and all day Friday. That this

seminar had been prepaid and numerous other arguments made on their behalf to permit them to attend.

Obviously, with the jury returning at 8 o'clock under the guidelines that we've now set down, it's not necessary for counsel to be here at that point in time. Counsel has agreed to be available at all times at the seminar through a phone number provided to the Court and if necessary, contact can be made by phone and records made of any questions or any discussions that need to be done can be done on the record with counsel being down in Cincinnati and the prosecutor being either at their phone or here in chambers.

The question has come up as to whether or not what happens at lunch when the jury wants to be recessed for the noon hour assuming that happens. And it's been agreed upon by all counsel that the Court would simply go to the jury room, instruct them as previously done today and this evening as to their job during the lunch recess thereby eliminating the necessity of the defense counsel returning from Cincinnati, the need to bring the defendant over from the County Jail and the need for the prosecuting attorney to be present at that time. And it's the Court's understanding that this

procedure is agreeable to the State. Is that correct, 1 Mr. Slavens? 2 Yes, your Honor. 3 MR. SLAVENS: And it's also been THE COURT: 4 requested and agreed to by the defense, is that 5 6 correct? Yes, it is. MR. ARNTZ: 7 All right. Now on any of 8 THE COURT: 9 these issues, is there anything further that we need 10 to put into the record at this time? Nothing that I know of. 11 MR. ARNTZ: 12 MR. SLAVENS: Makes no difference to me. I don't know if the Court has stated when the Court 13 does go, if there is a noon time break, which the jury 14 15 may do so any time they so request, is the Court planning to do that on or off the record? 16 17 No, that will be on the THE COURT: 18 record but out of the presence of all counsel and the 19 defendant. Simply, the Court will just excuse the 20 jury for the lunch recess and reconvene at a 21 designated time with the usual instructions about not 22 discussing the case until they're all together as a 23 group of twelve. And we'll proceed accordingly.

We are done.

24

```
(WHEREUPON, the proceedings for March 3,
 1
         1993, were concluded at the hour of 5:25 p.m.)
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 (March 4, 1993 - Afternoon Session) 2 12:30 p.m. 3 4 IN THE JURY ROOM 5 THE COURT: Let the record reflect that 6 the jury has inquired about lunch. It's now 7 approximately 12:30 and the Court will go ahead and send 8 the jury to lunch with the instructions to return at 9 1:00 to continue deliberations. Obviously, if you are 10 all twelve here before the 1:00 hour, go ahead, start 11 your deliberations but you will have to buzz us. We are 12 going to have to deal with all the exhibits. I just 13 forgot about those. 14 A JUROR: Can they lock them up? 15 THE COURT: We'll deal with the exhibits. 16 17 A JUROR: If one of us stays in here. 18 THE COURT: (Shook head in the 19 negative.) 20 A JUROR: 'Cause you have to take 21 them along. 22 THE COURT: Now remember, we are on the 23 record here. So when you ask me questions, remember 24 Joyce has to know who's speaking.

We'll have to remove the

exhibits and then bring them back when you are all here. Now remember the usual instruction from the Court not to discuss the case among yourselves or with anybody else, unless all twelve are present. If you decide to split up going to lunch, that's fine, just don't talk about the case until all twelve of you are back in the jury room and then you can commence your deliberations. Now, let the record reflect the Court is going to permit the jury to have a brief discussion in view of the last series of questions. Off the record. (WHEREUPON, a discussion was held off the record and then the jury was recessed for lunch.)

1 (March 4, 1993 - Afternoon Session) 2 4:32 p.m. 3 IN OPEN COURT - OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY 4 THE COURT: Before the jury comes in, obviously, this has been a lengthy trial. There's 5 6 been a lot of feelings on both sides. Obviously, 7 remember we are in a courtroom. I would expect all 8 the spectators to simply respectfully react quietly to 9 yourselves whichever way the verdict goes. That's all 10 I hope I need to say to an intelligent group of 11 people. 12 So let's bring the jury in. 13 BEFORE THE JURY 14 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the 15 jury, have you reached a verdict or verdicts? 16 We have, your Honor. MS. WHITE: 17 THE COURT: Would you hand the verdict 18 forms to the bailiff, please. 19 I'm trying to get these in 20 order is what I'm doing. 21 All right. The Court has 22 examined the verdicts and all the verdict forms have 23 been signed by all twelve members of the jury. 24 Would the bailiff read the 25 verdicts, please. And this will be in order from

1 Count One through Count 5.

THE BAILIFF: Case No. 92-CR-1673 State of Ohio against Weston Lee Howe, Jr. Count One, aggravated murder, Mark McDonald. We, the Jury, upon the issues joined in this case, do find the defendant, Weston Lee Howe, Jr., not guilty of the offense of aggravated murder as charged in the indictment and/or not guilty of the lesser included offense of involuntary manslaughter.

As you say, signed by twelve members of the jury.

Same case number and caption, Count Two, aggravated murder, Richard Blazer. We, the Jury, upon the issues joined in this case, do find the defendant, Weston Lee Howe, Jr., guilty of the offense of aggravated murder as charged in the indictment and/or not guilty of the lesser included offense of involuntary manslaughter.

Count Three, aggravated robbery, Mark McDonald. We, the Jury, upon the issues joined in the case, do find the defendant, Weston Lee Howe, Jr., not guilty of the offense of aggravated robbery as charged in the indictment.

Count Four, aggravated robbery, Richard Blazer. We, the Jury, upon the

1 issues joined in the case, do find the defendant, Weston Lee Howe, Jr., quilty of the offense of 2 3 aggravated robbery as charged in the indictment. 4 THE COURT: There is a firearm spec on 5 that. Just that one. 6 THE BAILIFF: 7 THE COURT: Well, go back. Read that 8 one. 9 I'm sorry. Yes, okay. THE BAILIFF: 10 THE COURT: You weren't going to do any 11 of the firearms spec? You were going to go back? 12 Let's do it the way you were doing it. 13 Count Five, aggravated THE BAILIFF: 14 burglarly, Richard Blazer. We, the Jury, upon the issues joined in the case, do find the defendant, 15 16 Weston Lee Howe, Jr., quilty of the offense of aggravated burglary as charged in the indictment. 17 18 Now I will go back to Count 19 Two, firearm specification. We, the Jury, upon the 20 issues joined in the case having found the defendant 21 Weston Lee Howe, Jr. guilty of Count Two, do hereby 22 further find that he did not have on or about his 23 person or under his control a deadly weapon, to-wit: a 24 firearm while committing said offense. 25 Count Four, firearm

1	specification. We, the Jury, upon the issues joined									
2	in this case having found the defendant, Weston Lee									
3	Howe, Jr. guilty of Count Four, do hereby further find									
4	that he did not have on or about his person or under									
5	his control a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm while									
6	committing said offense.									
7	Count Five, firearm									
8	specification. We, the Jury, upon the issues joined									
9	in this case having found the defendant Weston Lee									
10	Howe, Jr. guilty of Count Five, do hereby further find									
11	that he did not have on or about his person or under									
12	his control a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm while									
13	committing said offense.									
14	Members of the jury, you've									
15	heard the reading of these verdicts, if these are your									
16	verdicts, please signify by saying aye?									
17	THE JURY: Aye.									
18	THE COURT: Does either the State or									
19	the defendant desire the jury polled?									
20	MR. SLAVENS: We do not, your Honor.									
21	THE COURT: Defendant?									
22	MR. ARNTZ: No, sir. Thank you.									
23	THE COURT: All right. The Court will									
24	accept the verdicts and keep them here for all counsel									
25	to examine. Let me see them one more time here.									

1 THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir.

2 THE COURT: Before the jury is formally 3 excused, could I see counsel at the side for just a

minute.

AT SIDE BAR

THE COURT: Let the record reflect that the verdict as to Count Two, aggravated murder, Richard Blazer reads as follows: We, the Jury, upon the issues join in this case do find the defendant, Weston Lee Howe, Jr., guilty of the offense of aggravated murder as charged in the indictment and/or not guilty of the lesser included offense of involuntary manslaughter. In view of the other verdicts, taking them as a whole, the intent, in this Court's mind, is clear that the verdict is one of guilty. And I'm suggesting to counsel as to how we clarify this now before the jury is actually physically removed from the courtroom.

First of all, let's go in order. The State, do you have any suggestions other than to poll the jury at this point?

MR. SLAVENS: No. I think it would be the appropriate way to do it, really.

MR. ARNTZ: I think our position at this point is that the verdict is a nullity, it's

1 ambiguous on its face and we object to the Court 2 attempting to modify the verdict form in the fashion 3 suggested. We believe that count has been mistried. 4 All right. THE COURT: Obviously, these are legal issues that we can deal with later. 5 6 Let me ask counsel this 7 question. Do we want to take a brake? We want to 8 just launch right into this? 9 MR. SLAVENS: I think you need to do it 10 now. 11 THE COURT: Once the jury is out of here, they're released from the admonition, then 12 13 perhaps the problem becomes much more severe. 14 whatever, whether Mr. Arntz is right or wrong at this 15 point, if he's wrong, this clarifies it. 16 right, it makes no difference. Sorry, but that's my 17 analytical training coming through. I will launch 18 forth here and hopefully not create any problem, it's 19 not intended. 20 MR. ARNTZ: Okay. The record should be 21 clear we object to the Court commenting on this 22 particular verdict in Count Two at this time in any 23 fashion. 24 THE COURT: I understand that.

Anything for the record now

again before I do this, Mr. Slavens?

MR. SLAVENS: I think it's something that has to be clarified or otherwise we'll be looking at a new trial.

THE COURT: Shirley, we'll eventually have to poll the jury. You're on notice.

BEFORE THE JURY

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, Count Two, aggravated murder, (Richard Blazer), the verdict form reads as follows: I will read verbatim what it says. We, the Jury, upon the issues joined in this case, do find the defendant, Weston Lee Howe, Jr., guilty of the offense of aggravated murder as charged in the indictment and/or not guilty of the lesser included offense of involuntarily manslaughter.

My question that will be asked of you, and the bailiff will individually poll each juror, is your verdict of aggravated murder one of guilty? I'm going to repeat it. Is your verdict for the offense of aggravated murder one of guilty? You answer that question yes or no.

Does anybody have any question about the question that's going to be asked of you? I see no hands but I want to make sure that there is no problem. Now juror number 7 has raised

his hand. 1 2 MR. SCHAFER: I would like you to repeat 3 the whole question again. 4 THE COURT: Would you read it back, 5 Joyce. 6 (WHEREUPON, the Court Reporter read back the 7 question.) 8 THE COURT: I read the whole verdict as I'm reading it now. 10 I think what we are going to have to do, ladies and gentlemen, is give you back 11 12 this verdict form, send it back to the jury room. 13 you have any questions about the verdict form, put it in writing, submit it to the Court. Let's do it that 14 15 way. 16 MR. SLAVENS: May we approach one moment, 17 your Honor? 18 THE COURT: You may. 19 AT SIDE BAR 20 Let the record reflect the THE COURT: 21 Court is going to submit all verdict forms back to the 22 jury with the instruction to examine the one verdict

form, or any others they want to examine for that

encourage them to ask any question they may have as it

matter. Now I think I got to do them all. And

2.3

24

1 relates to this one verdict form, Count Two, 2 aggravated murder. Any questions they may have as it 3 relates to the verdicts forms. 4 Any objection by the State or suggested procedure? 5 6 MR. SLAVENS: Since you've only inquired as to, to Count Two, I think that's the only one that 7 8 should go back with them. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Arntz. 10 MR. ARNTZ: I think our position is 11 that we object to any of the forms going back to them. 12 THE COURT: All right. In order to 13 keep this as simple as possible, again, the Court 14 reemphasizes it is clear to the Court what I think 15 their intent is, however, we've got to be sure in a 16 situation like this. Obviously, the defense's 17 objection is noted. I will send Count Two verdict 18 form back to the jury and encourage a question, if 19 they have it. Not being conducted here in open court 20 in front of everybody. Okay. 21 BEFORE THE JURY 22 THE COURT: Now, what the Court is

going to do is the following, and, Mr. Schafer, I want

to assure you, I understood the intent of your

question. What I'm going to do though is send the

23

24

verdict form, Count Two, aggravated murder, Richard Blazer, back to the jury room with you. Examine the verdict form. If you have any questions at all, I encourage you to ask the Court in writing so it can be made a part of the record. If you have no questions, you need not ask any questions. Obviously, there is a little bit of confusion here the way this is worded, the way the answers are written on this form. Please advise the Court either in the form of a question for your clarification or if there is none, simply say, Judge, that's the way we decided, that's our verdict. But look at the form. Remember the question that the Court asked you. Again, I'm going to send you back and take your time now.

Now we will stand in

recess.

(WHEREUPON, a recess was taken at the hour of 4:53 p.m.)

IN CHAMBERS

THE COURT: Let the record reflect we are out of the presence of the jury. The jury is now back in the jury room.

Mr. Arntz.

MR. ARNTZ: We would like the record to reflect that we object to the comments which the Court

1 just made to the jurors to the effect that there is 2 some confusion about their jury verdict form as to 3 Count Two and that they are encouraged to go back into 4 the deliberation room and clarify that particular 5 verdict. We feel that those remarks were improper and 6 prejudicial to our client at this stage. 7 MR. SLAVENS: How is it? 8 Well, it indicates that the MR. ARNTZ: Court is critical of the verdict which they have 9 10 returned and --11 Well, my words are going to THE COURT: 12 speak for themselves on the record. And I think the 13 record in view of the any reviewing body that looks at 14 this will understand why the Court was, was saying 15 what it was saying. But whatever was said, those 16 words will speak for themselves. And we will just 17 have to go from there. 18 MR. MONTA: I think that's our 19 position. 20 (Pause in the proceedings.) 21 THE COURT: Okay. The Court is going 22 to respond to the jury's question which has been 23 marked Court's Exhibit VI. The question speaks for

itself. Signed by the foreperson. The response is

signed by the Court and states as follows:

24

1	defendant is found guilty of aggravated murder, you do								
2	not answer the question on involuntary manslaughter.								
3	Period. Signed by the Court.								
4	Mr. Arntz.								
5	MR. ARNTZ: We renew our objection to								
6	the Court soliciting further input and questions from								
7	the jury with regard to their verdict on this count.								
8	And we also object to the Court answering the question								
9	which came back from the jury in any fashion.								
10	THE COURT: The objection is so noted.								
11	(WHEREUPON, in-chambers proceedings were								
12	then concluded.)								
13	IN OPEN COURT - BEFORE THE JURY								
14	5:13 p.m.								
15	THE COURT: Good afternoon again.								
16	Pursuant to the Court's								
17	instructions, the jury returned to deliberate, came								
18	back to the Court with a question, the question was								
19	then answered. And the jury, as I understand it, is								
20	prepared to have the Court announce that verdict with								
21	a little more clarity. Am I correct on that,								
22	Ms. White?								
23	MS. WHITE: Yes.								
24	THE COURT: Would you hand the form								
25	please to the bailiff.								

1	All right. Let the record										
2	reflect that the verdict in Count Two, aggravated										
3	murder, Richard Blazer, reads as follows: We, the										
4	Jury, upon the issues joined in this case, do find the										
5	defendant, Weston Lee Howe, Jr., guilty of the offense										
6	of aggravated murder as charged in the indictment.										
7	Now with that, ladies and										
8	gentlemen of the jury, is that your verdict in Count										
9	Two as it relates to Richard Blazer? We are going to										
10	do this one at a time to make sure there is no										
11	question about it.										
12	Shirley, would you poll the										
13	jury?										
14	THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir.										
15	As I call your names if										
16	this is your verdict, please answer yes. If it not,										
17	please say no.										
18	Karen Balsbaugh?										
19	MS. BALSBAUGH: Yes.										
20	THE BAILIFF: Harley Rice?										
21	MR. RICE: Yes.										
22	THE BAILIFF: Lisa A. White?										
23	MS. WHITE: Yes.										
24	THE BAILIFF: Gregory O'Leary?										
25	MR. O'LEARY: Yes.										

1		тин	RATITEE:	Jeffrey Wright?
2			WRIGHT:	
				Katherine Holbrook?
3				
4			HOLBROOK:	
5		THE	BAILIFF:	Lawrence Schafer?
6		MR.	SCHAFER:	Yes.
7		THE	BAILIFF:	Cheryl Oliver?
8		MS.	OLIVER:	Yes.
9		THE	BAILIFF:	Steven Pugh?
10		MR.	PUGH:	Yes.
11		THE	BAILIFF:	Cornelia Merriman?
12		MS.	MERRIMAN:	Yes.
13		THE	BAILIFF:	Sara Bond?
14		MS.	BOND:	Yes.
15		THE	BAILIFF:	Richard Price?
16		MR.	PRICE:	Yes.
17		THE	COURT:	All right. Ladies and
18	gentleme	n of t	the jury, wha	t the Court will do at this
19	point in	time	is accept the	e verdicts as rendered,
20	ordered	them k	oe filed.	
21				And you will now be excused
22	from jur	y serv	vice. Now a	couple of words of caution,
23	and I kn	ow tha	at the hour i	s getting a little late,

number one, you're now released from the instruction

that you are not permitted to discuss the case either

24

among yourselves, which you've obviously done, you may discuss the case with anyone you want to, that may include the lawyers, it may include interested people such as members of the news media. You don't have to talk to anybody that you don't want to talk to. It's as simple as that. If you don't mind talking or you want to talk, that is your choice. In other words, you call it if you want. I don't know even if they want to talk to you, okay. All I'm saying is it's your decision to make as to who you talk to or who you don't talk to about this situation.

Now you're released and with the thanks of myself directly, I'm sure of the lawyers, and this court staff. I want to thank you. This has been a long trial, much longer than we originally anticipated, as you know. And I want to thank you again on behalf of Montgomery County and the State of Ohio for your service as jurors. You will be excused. You are no longer obligated to do anymore time in terms of your jury service.

I would like to ask you to do one thing and this I guarantee you, if you go back, when you go back to the jury room, if you would wait just a minute. I've got your letters to bring you. I just want to have a couple of minutes and I will be

right there. I assure you this is not a 15 minute proposition. I will be right back. And if you have to run, go ahead. I understand. But remember what I've said, if you don't want to talk with anybody, that's your choice. You might want to wait because there may be a couple of things that I can answer for you in terms of a couple of questions. Couple things I want to mention to you that don't involve the actual situation here.

So we'll stand in recess.

I will be back in just a minute.

(WHEREUPON, the jury was excused from the courtroom at the hour of 5:18 p.m.)

THE COURT: Let the record reflect that the defendant has been convicted of the offense of aggravated murder on Count Two, aggravated robbery in Count Four, and aggravated burglary in Count Five of the indictment. The Court will set the sentencing of the defendant for one week from today which is March the 11th at 9 a.m. Bond will be revoked.

And if there is nothing further, we'll stand in recess.

Mr. Slavens?

MR. SLAVENS: No, nothing further, your

23

24

25

Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

THE COURT: Mr. Arntz or Mr. Monta? MR. ARNTZ: No. MR. MONTA: No. THE COURT: March the 11th, 9 a.m. Like I indicated, the bond is revoked. (WHEREUPON, the proceedings for March 4, 1993, were then concluded at the hour of 5:19 p.m.)

(March 11, 1993 - Morning Session) 1 2 10:05 a.m. 3 IN OPEN COURT 4 Mr. Slavens, would you call THE COURT: 5 6 the case? Yes, your Honor. MR. SLAVENS: 7 If it please the Court, 8 this is Case Number 92-CR-1673, caption, Ohio vs. 9 Weston Lee Howe, Jr. Mr. Howe is present today with 10 his counsel. We are here in court for sentencing and 11 12 the conclusion of previous matters relating to Count Six of the indictment which the defendant is charged 13 with having weapons under disability. 14 THE COURT: Well, taking first things 15 first, it's the Court's understanding there is going 16 to be a stipulation that is going to be entered 17 between the State and the defendant, is that correct? 18 That is my understanding. 19 MR. SLAVENS: As it relates to Count Six 20 that there is a stipulation to the effect that this 21 defendant, Weston Lee Howe, Jr., also known as Lee 22 Weston Howe, Jr., in Case Number 86-CR-1349, in the 23 Montgomery County Common Pleas Court was convicted of 24

the offense of robbery and that said conviction

occurred on or about October 29, 1986, at which time he was before Judge MacMillan and represented by William Fisher and the prosecuting attorney was Mr. Patrick Adkins. And those items also, your Honor, relates to Count Four, Five, and Six of the indictment.

THE COURT: What about Count Two? The stipulation applies to all counts? Let's do it that way.

MR. SLAVENS: Yeah. That is my understanding.

THE COURT: All right.

Mr. Arntz or Mr. Monta.

MR. ARNTZ: Yes, sir, that's correct.

MR. MONTA: Correct.

will accept into the record then, of course, this does or at least potentially has impact in terms of sentencing, the stipulation that Mr. Weston Lee Howe, Jr. was previously convicted in the Montgomery County Common Pleas Court of Case Number 86-CR-1349, on October the 29th, 1986, that being an offense of robbery and that specification is attached to the various counts for which Mr. Howe has been convicted. Specifically -- well, there is no specification as to

1 Count Two. 2 MR. SLAVENS: That's correct. THE COURT: Mr. Slavens, that's why you 3 didn't mention it. 4 5 It does apply then as to 6 Counts Four, Five, and Six. 7 Now as it relates to -- and 8 before we get to sentencing, does the defendant have any motions at this point or any matters to be brought 9 10 to the attention of the Court before sentencing? This is not the actual sentencing itself. Mr. Arntz or Mr. 11 12 Monta. Only to indicate we have 13 MR. ARNTZ: filed with the Court a motion with regard to the 14 15 consecutive or concurrent nature of sentences. We, of 16 course, ask the Court to take consideration of all the arguments made to that motion. 17 18 All right. Anything THE COURT: 19 further than on behalf of the defendant? 20 No, sir, not at this time. MR. ARNTZ: 21 All right. Would counsel THE COURT: 22 approach the bar and we'll proceed to sentence at this 23 point in time. 24 Mr. Arntz, first of all, is there anything you want to say before the Court 25

1 pronounces sentence? 2 MR. ARNTZ: No, sir. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Monta? No, Judge. 4 MR. MONTA: Mr. Howe? 5 THE COURT: 6 THE DEFENDANT: No. Anything at all that you 7 THE COURT: want to say as to why the Court, why the Court should 8 9 not proceed to sentence at this time? No, not at all. 10 THE DEFENDANT: All right. 11 THE COURT: All right. Now, first of 12 all, dealing with Count Six, which is the weapon under 13 disability count, the Court is going to enter a 14 15 finding of not guilty on the specifications attached 16 thereto. We've discussed this matter at length. The 17 Court believes that the previous conviction 18 specification is nothing but a duplication of the 19 substantive count and therefore is in effect the same 20 and the finding of not quilty only applies to the 21 specifications. I believe the finding of 22 23 quilty as to the weapon under disability charge was entered into the record at the time of the plea of no 24

contest at the commencement of the trial but if it's

not contained therein, it will now reflect that the 1 2 Court is making a finding of quilty as to the 3 substantive count. 4 Now on this one limited 5 issue, anything further for the record on behalf of 6 the defendant from either Mr. Arntz or Mr. Monta? 7 MR. ARNTZ: No, sir. I don't think so. 8 MR. MONTA: Other than what's in the motion for sentence, which does include this argument, 9 nothing further. 10 11 THE COURT: And I believe the issue was 12 raised before the commencement of the trial by the 13 defendant by a motion also. 14 MR. MONTA: That's true. 15 THE COURT: All right. With that then, 16 the Court will proceed to sentence. 17 And as it relates to Count 18 Two of the indictment, which is the aggravated murder 19 charge, the Court will sentence the defendant to a 20 term of life imprisonment pursuant to Section 2929.02 21 of the Ohio Revised Code. That sentence to at least 22 commence at the Corrections Reception Center. 23 As it relates to Count

Four, which is the aggravated robbery charge, the

Court will sentence the defendant to an indefinite

24

term of confinement of not less than 15 nor more than 25 years at the Corrections Reception Center. That sentence to run consecutive with the sentence imposed in Count Two.

In Count Five, the aggravated burglary count, and I don't believe I'm mistating count numbers, but the count numbers are not the significant portion of the words, the actual name of the charge is what the Court is referring to. And the aggravated burglary conviction, the Court will sentence the defendant to an indefinite term of not less than 15 nor more than 25 years at the Corrections Reception Center. That sentence also to run consecutive with the aggravated robbery charge, and which is running consecutive with the aggravated murder charge.

In addition, in Count Six, the Court will sentence the defendant to a definite term of confinement of 1 and 1/2 years at the Corrections Reception Center, that also to run consecutive with each of the other sentences.

Now, Mr. Howe, you have the right to appeal the Court's sentence. You have 30 days to file a notice of appeal. If you cannot afford a lawyer, one will be provided to you at no cost. If

1 you cannot afford the cost of a transcript, one will 2 be provided to you at no cost. 3 Do you understand your 4 rights to appeal? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 A couple of things on this. THE COURT: 7 I want to make it very clear in the record. Each sentence, each, on each of the four counts to which 8 the Court just imposed a sentence, they are running 9 10 consecutive each with the other all running 11 consecutive. So there is no question in anybody's 12 mind as to what the Court just said. Number two, on the issue of 13 appeal, Mr. Howe, you do understand that you have that 14 15 right, do you not? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 THE COURT: All right. On that issue 18 I've already consulted with your attorneys on this 19 I assume that you cannot afford to hire a 20 lawyer, is that a correct assumption? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: The Court will appoint a 23 That has been agreed upon by your attorneys. 24 They may want to discuss this with you. I will make

that appointment directly so that hopefully the person

```
1
        can get over to see you in the county jail before you
 2
        are actually removed from there.
 3
                                   With that then, anything
 4
        further, Mr. Monta or Mr. Arntz?
                              We'll, be filing a notice
                  MR. ARNTZ:
 5
 6
        of appeal on his behalf.
 7
                  THE COURT:
                                   Mr. Slavens, anything
 8
        further from the State?
 9
                                   Nothing further, your
                  MR. SLAVENS:
10
        Honor. Thank you.
11
                  THE COURT: All right. We will stand
12
        in recess.
13
14
                  (WHEREUPON, the proceedings were then
15
        concluded.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

\sim	_	מי	Т	т	T	T	78	т.	-	\sim	3.7
<u> </u>	£	л	т.	1	Г		А	11.	1	()	N

I, Joyce L. Davenport, Registered Professional
Reporter, Assistant Official Court Reporter, do hereby
certify that the foregoing testimony, evidence and
proceedings of court were taken by me in shorthand
and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me, and the
foregoing constitutes a true, correct, and complete
transcript of the proceedings and the evidence
introduced on FEBRUARY 22-26; MARCH 1-4 and MARCH 11,
1993, in Case No. 92-CR-1673, on the docket of the Common
Pleas Court of Montgomery County, Ohio, a court of record.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 19th day of AUGUST, 1993.

Joyce L. Davenport, R.P.R.
Ass't Official Court Reporter
Notary Public
Montgomery County Common Pleas
Court